

Available online at <u>www.uofk.edu</u> Proceedings Vol. 1 pp. 16-21 Annual Conference of Postgraduate Studies and Scientific Research 17-20 February 2012-10-17 Friendship Hall, Khartoum



Comparative Study of Equations of State

S. A. Abdul-Aziz¹, A. A. Rabah²

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Khartoum,

²Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan (E-mail: <u>rabahssr@uofk.edu</u>)

Abstract: The objective of this work is to predict thermodynamic properties of pure component using equation of state (EOS). Six EOSs used in this study, namely Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK), Peng-Robinson (PR), Schmidt-Wenzel (SW), Patel-Teja (PT), Lawal-Lake-Silberberg (LLS), and Adachi-Lu-Sugie (ALS). The pure component used include eleven hydrocarbon compounds from methane to normal decane and four non-hydrocarbon compounds namely water, hydrogen sulphide, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide. The predicted properties include vapor pressure, saturated vapor and liquid volumes, in temperature range from triple to critical point. A computer code using Matalab software is written to facilitate the calculation. The predicted resulted is compared with experimental data. The results reveled with exception SW EOSs all EOSs are inconsistent in the prediction of thermodynamics properties of the fluids under consideration. SW predicted all properties with absolute average

percent deviation (AAPD) of less that 3.

Keywords: Equation of state; saturated properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Numerous cubic equations of state have been developed since the appearance of Van der Waals (VDW) equation in 1873. A number of modifications of the VDW equation were made through the developments of its attractive term, $\overline{V^2}$.The general form of this type of modifications is

$$p = \frac{RT}{(V-b)} - \frac{a\alpha(T)}{(V^2 + ubV + wb^2)}$$
(1)

Table (1).	modifications of attractive term	of VDW	EOS
Authors		Voor	Attractive t

Authors	Year	Attractive term	U	W
Two parameters equations				
Van Der Waals(VDW)	1873	$\frac{a}{V^2}$	0	0
Soave-Redlich-Kwong(SRK)	1972	$\frac{a(T)}{V(V+b)}$	1	0
Peng-Robinson (PR)	1976	$\frac{a(T)}{V(V+b)+b(V-b)}$	2	-1
Three parameters equations				
Schmidt-Wenzel(SW)	1980	$\frac{a(T)}{V^2 + (1+3\omega)bV - 3\omega b^2}$	1+3ω	-3ω
Patel-Teja(PT)	1982	$\frac{a(T)}{V(V+b) + c(V-b)}$	(b+c)/b	-c/b
Four parameters equations				
Adachi-Lu-Sugie(ALS)	1983	$\frac{a(T)}{(V-b_2)(V+b_3)}$	(b ₂ -b ₁)/b	$-b_1b_2/b^2$
Lawal-Lake-Silberberg (LLS)	1983	$\frac{a\alpha(T)}{(V^2 + \alpha bV - \beta b^2)}$	А	-β

2. Property Models

The calculation of the vapor pressure of a pure component through an equation of state usually is made by an algorithm; the same algorithm is used to calculate the saturated phase (liquid and vapor) volumes.

Equation (1) had been applied as a basis to calculate saturated properties for pure components.

Z-Form of equation (1) is as follows.

$$\Phi_3 Z^3 + \Phi_2 Z^2 + \Phi_1 Z + \Phi_0 = 0$$
(2)

Where

 $\Phi_{3}=1, \quad \Phi_{2}=-[1+(1-u) B]$ $\Phi_{1}=[A-uB+(w-u) B^{2}], \quad \Phi_{0}=-[AB+w (B^{2}+B^{3})]$ $A=\frac{a\alpha(T)P}{R^{2}T^{2}}, \quad B=\frac{bP}{RT}$

The initial guess pressure can be obtained from Antoine equation

$$\ln P = C_1 - C_2 / (T + C_3) \tag{3}$$

Where C1, C2, C3 are Antoine constants.

The fugacity coefficient can be calculated from

$$\ln \frac{f}{P} = Z - 1 - \ln(Z - B) - \frac{A}{B(u^2 - 4w)^{0.5}} \ln \left(\frac{Z + (u + (u^2 - 4w)^{0.5})\frac{B}{2}}{Z + (u - (u^2 - 4w)^{0.5})\frac{B}{2}} \right)$$
(4)

The saturated volumes can be obtained from the following equation as

$$Z = \frac{PV}{RT} \Longrightarrow V = \frac{ZRT}{P}$$
(5)

The absolute average percent deviation(AAPD) for each property $\left(P \right)$

calculates from

$$AAPD = \frac{1}{N} \left| \frac{(P_{\text{exp}} - P_{cal}) * 100}{P_{\text{exp}}} \right|$$
(6)

Where N is the Number of data points.



Figure (1). systematic algorithm to calculate phase volumes and vapor pressure

3. Results

For comparison between calculated and Experimental data, the average absolute percent deviation (AAPD) as

computed. A flow diagram is presented in figure (1) to illustrate the procedure of calculating Vapor pressure and saturated phase volumes. A computer code using MATLAB was written to facilitate the calculations.

Table (2).	Average Absolute Percent	Deviation of six	Equations of state	in predicting vapo	r pressure of pure				
hydrocarbon compounds									

Comp.	SRK	PR	SW	PT	LLS	ALS	No. of data	T _r ra	nge	
							points	T _{r,min}	T _{r,max}	Data source
C1	0.13	0.03	0.31	0.10	0.06	0.05	10	0.5248	0.9971	Perry.1997
C2	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.05	10	0.3275	0.9826	Perry.1997
C3	0.18	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.06	9	0.3245	0.9734	Perry.1997
C4	0.21	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.05	10	0.3293	0.9880	Perry.1997
i-C4	0.20	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.03	9	0.3920	0.9801	Perry.1997
C5	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	8	0.7132	0.9368	VDI, 2007
C6	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.06	8	0.7289	0.9358	VDI, 2007
C7	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	10	0.5553	0.9256	Perry.1997
C8	0.16	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	9	0.4924	0.9847	Perry.1997
C9	0.09	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.04	10	0.5045	0.9754	Perry.1997
C10	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	9	0.4533	0.9713	Perry.1997
$AAPD_{\text{grand}}$	0.12	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	102			
$AAPD_{min}$	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01				
AAPD _{max}	0.21	0.10	0.31	0.14	0.12	0.06				

 Table (3).
 Average Absolute Percent Deviation of six Equations of state in predicting vapor pressure of pure nonhvdrocarbon compounds

Comp.	SRK	PR	SW	PT	LLS	ALS	No. of data	Tr r	ange	
							points	Tr,min	Tr,max	Data source
H ₂ O	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	10	0.5872	0.9735	Perry.1997
H_2S	0.10	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	8	0.5890	0.9638	VDI,2007
CO_2	0.29	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.27	0.10	10	0.7232	0.9862	Perry.1997
N_2	0.14	0.02	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.05	10	0.5155	0.9913	Perry.1997
AAPD _{grand}	0.14	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.05	38			
AAPD _{min}	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02				
AAPD _{max}	0.29	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.27	0.10				

_	Comp.	SRK	PR	SW	PT	LLS	ALS	No. of data	Trı	ange	
								points	$T_{r,min}$	T _{r,max}	Data source
	C1	2.40	1.03	1.71	1.56	6.40	1.09	10	0.5248	0.9971	[5]
	C2	1.45	0.38	0.55	0.61	2.41	0.32	10	0.3275	0.9826	[5]
	C3	1.64	0.57	0.58	0.54	2.05	0.45	9	0.3245	0.9734	[5]
	C4	2.08	0.43	0.57	0.71	4.87	0.48	10	0.3293	0.9880	[5]
	i-C4	1.91	0.55	0.66	0.66	2.88	0.53	9	0.3920	0.9801	[5]
	C5	3.39	1.19	1.52	1.41	0.85	1.24	8	0.7132	0.9368	[15]
	C6	3.19	1.39	1.53	1.36	6.26	1.39	8	0.7289	0.9358	[15]
	C7	4.13	2.86	2.82	2.75	2.98	2.71	10	0.5553	0.9256	[5]
	C8	4.90	3.05	2.89	2.65	4.1	2.58	9	0.4924	0.9847	[5]
	C9	10.37	8.85	8.43	8.43	7.72	8.62	10	0.5045	0.9754	[5]
	C10	8.78	7.33	6.88	6.79	6.49	6.76	9	0.4533	0.9713	[5]
	$AAPD_{\text{grand}}$	4.02	2.51	2.56	2.50	4.27	2.38	102			
	$AAPD_{min}$	1.45	0.38	0.55	0.61	2.41	0.32				
	AAPD _{max}	10.37	8.85	8.43	8.43	7.72	8.62				

 Table (4). Average Absolute Percentage Deviation of six Equations of state in predicting Saturated vapor volume of pure hydrocarbon compounds

 Table (5). Average Absolute Percentage Deviation of six Equations of state in predicting Saturated vapor volume of pure non- hydrocarbon compounds

Comp.	SRK	PR	SW	PT	LLS	ALS	No. of data	T _r ra	ange	
							points	T _{r,min}	T _{r,max}	Data source
H ₂ O	5.55	3.55	3.47	3.21	3.17	12.39	10	0.5872	0.9735	[5]
H_2S	6.18	4.54	5.17	5.15	5.72	4.93	8	0.5890	0.9638	[15]
CO_2	3.12	0.69	0.61	0.54	3.75	0.65	10	0.7232	0.9862	[5]
N_2	1.16	1.10	0.56	0.46	3.50	0.59	10	0.5155	0.9913	[5]
AAPDgrand	4.00	2.47	2.45	2.34	4.04	4.64	38			
AAPD _{min}	1.16	0.69	0.56	0.46	3.17	0.59				
AAPD _{max}	5.55	4.54	5.17	5.15	5.72	12.39				

Comp.	SRK	PR	SW	PT	LLS	ALS	No. of data	T _r ra	inge	
							points	$T_{r,min}$	T _{r,max}	Data source
C1	21.46	8.86	6.45	5.83	46.47	4.87	10	0.5248	0.9971	[5]
C2	9.17	7.04	3.44	3.51	35.82	3.78	10	0.3275	0.9826	[5]
C3	11.21	5.46	3.40	3.46	35.39	3.69	9	0.3245	0.9734	[5]
C4	13.46	5.34	4.41	4.5	32.44	4.49	10	0.3293	0.9880	[5]
i-C4	12.59	5.67	3.91	4.11	41.75	3.75	9	0.3920	0.9801	[5]
C5	16.11	2.96	3.65	3.3	52.08	3.51	8	0.7132	0.9368	[15]
C6	18.04	3.09	3.42	3.18	49.98	3.40	8	0.7289	0.9358	[15]
C7	17.53	2.58	1.85	1.94	37.02	1.82	10	0.5553	0.9256	[5]
C8	23.37	7.92	4.62	4.95	38.78	4.97	9	0.4924	0.9847	[5]
C9	21.58	6.38	2.44	2.74	29.22	2.63	10	0.5045	0.9754	[5]
C10	24.59	9.23	3.23	3.57	31.16	3.49	9	0.4533	0.9713	[5]
AAPD _{grand}	17.19	5.87	3.71	3.74	39.10	3.67	102			
AAPD _{min}	9.17	2.58	1.85	1.94	29.22	1.82				
AAPD _{max}	24.59	9.23	6.45	5.83	52.08	4.97				

 Table (6). Average Absolute Percentage Deviation of six Equations of state in predicting Saturated liquid volume of pure hydrocarbon compounds

 Table (7). Average Absolute Percent Deviation of six Equations of state in predicting Saturated liquid volume of pure non- hydrocarbon compounds

_						ALS	No. of data T _r rang		ange	
Comp.	SRK	PR	SW	РТ	LLS		points	$T_{r,min}$	T _{r,max}	Data source
H_2O	44.06	25.72	24.37	24.16	76.62	24.73	10	0.5872	0.9735	Perry.1997
H_2S	11.67	5.06	5.88	4.68	38.9	5.28	8	0.5890	0.9638	VDI, 2007
CO_2	16.21	4.36	4.58	4.48	63.88	4.21	10	0.7232	0.9862	Perry.1997
N_2	7.14	10.3	5.21	6.24	30.41	4.65	10	0.5155	0.9913	Perry.1997
$AAPD_{\text{grand}}$	19.77	11.36	10.01	9.89	52.45	9.72	38			
\boldsymbol{AAPD}_{min}	7.14	4.36	4.58	4.48	30.41	4.21				
AAPD _{max}	44.06	25.72	24.37	24.16	76.62	24.73				

The tables (2 through 7) show a summary of AAPD for eleven hydrocarbon compounds using 102 data points and four non- hydrocarbon compounds using 38 data points from literature.

4. Discussion

Vapor pressure: Pure hydrocarbon compounds: Table (2) shows that All EOSs produced results with a grand AAPD ≤ 0.12 . Although the six EOSs yielded good results, PR EOS is superior to other five EOSs. For Pure non-hydrocarbon compounds: Table (3) shows that All EOSs produced results with a grand AAPD ≤ 0.14 . The six

EOSs yielded good results, while PR EOS is superior to other five EOSs.

Saturated vapor volume: Pure hydrocarbon compounds: Table (4) shows that the six EOSs produced results with a grand AAPD exceed 2.0. The maximum AAPD for six EOSs is more than 6.0. Although PR, SW, PT and ALS EOS yield good results, ALS EOS is superior to other EOSs. For Pure non-hydrocarbon compounds: Table (5) shows that the six EOSs produced results with a grand AAPD between 2.0 and 5.0. Although all EOSs yielded good results, PT EOS is superior to other EOSs.

Saturated liquid volume: Pure hydrocarbon compounds: Table (6) shows that All EOSs except SRK and LLS EOS

produced results with a grand AAPD between 3.0 and 6.0.. Although the four EOSs yield good results, ALS EOS is superior to other EOSs. For Pure non-hydrocarbon compounds: Table (7) shows that All EOSs except LLS EOS produced results with a grand AAPD more than 9.0. Although all EOSs except SRK EOS yield good results, ALS EOS is superior to other EOSs.

Nomenclature

- A Coefficient of Equation of state
- A Dimensionless constant
- B Coefficient of Equation of state
- B Dimensionless constant
- C Parameter of Equation of state
- F Fugacity
- P Pressure
- R Universal Gas Constant
- T Temperature
- U Parameter of Equation of state
- V Volume
- w Parameter of Equation of state
- Z Compressibility factor

Greek symbols

- A Parameter of Equation of state
- B Parameter of Equation of state
- ω Acentric factor

Subscripts and Superscripts

С	critical property
cal	calculated
exp	Experimental
max	Maximum
min	Minimum
L	Liquid
R	Reduced
V	vapor

REFERENCES

- Adachi, Y. Sugie, H. Lu, B.C.Y. Evaluation of cubic equation of state Journal of chemical engineering of Japan ,vol. 17 NO.6 ,(1984)
- [2] Danesh, A. PVT and Phase Behavior of Petroleum Reservoir Fluids. Elsevier Science, 2nd Edition, Elsevier science, Amsterdam (1998).
- [3] Kumar, N, compressibility factors for natural and sour reservoir gases by correlations and cubic equations of state, M.Sc thesis, University of Texas, USA (2004).
- [4] Moran.M.J, Shapiro.H. N., Fundamentals of engineering thermodynamics. Fifth edition. John Wiley &Sons, (2006).
- [5] O'Connell, J. P, Prausnitz, J.M and Poling, B.E., The Properties of Gases and Liquids .5th Edition.McGrow-Hill, New York,(2004).

- [6] Perry, R. H., Green D. W., and Maloney J. O., Chemical Engineers' Handbook ,7th Edition . McGraw-Hill, New York. (1997).
- [7] Whitson, C, H .Improved phase behavior predictions of petroleum Reservoir fluids from a cubic equation of state, Ingolf Soreide, (1994).
- [8] VDI, VDI-GVC. VDI- Waermeatlas. 10. Aufl, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2007).
- [9] www.swinburne.edu.au/ict/research/cms/ducements/d esertations/yswchap3.pdf.(2001)